

WOMEN’S & GIRLS’ FUND OF KERN COUNTY

Grant Proposal Evaluation Form

for use by the Grants Allocation Committee only

Applicant Organization _____

Reviewer _____

Total Score _____ **Recommend for funding?** _____ **Amount?** _____

Scoring guidelines:

5 = all aspects are clearly addressed and additional points were made

4 = most aspects are clearly addressed

3 = some aspects are clearly addressed but additional information would be helpful

2 = a few aspects are addressed but clarification and specifics are lacking

1 = applicant did not really address the topic

0 = omitted

After carefully reviewing the entire grant application, please rate this proposal on the basis of the following criteria:

Rationale –

This proposal addresses the specific focus area identified for the current year and will help to meet the unique problems faced by women and girls. It identifies a real need that is not currently being adequately addressed. The goals of the proposal are compatible with the mission of the applicant organization. These goals go beyond direct services to create social change for women and girls as a group.

Score _____

Plan –

The proposal includes a clear description of goals and objectives that are timely and achievable. Appropriate activities are delineated that are necessary and sufficient to accomplish the stated goals and objectives. A timeline is included that is clear, reasonable and within the grant funding period. The detailed budget is clear and reasonable for the project.

Score _____

Capacity –

The applicant organization has demonstrated a record of effective services and broad community support (financial and volunteer). The plan reflects strategies to collaborate with other agencies/organizations to leverage available resources. There is clear evidence of a plan for sustainability beyond the grant period.

Score _____

Evaluation and Replicability –

Success of this project is clearly defined, measurable/quantitative, and attainable. The proposal includes a specific plan and timeline for evaluation. There is clear potential for replication of this project in multiple sites/communities.

Score _____

Special Consideration/Tie Breaker -

Up to 5 points may be added in this category for exceptional qualities in the project proposed OR to break a tie among project proposals considered by this reviewer. In this event, the reviewer should write a short rationale for consideration by the Grants Allocation Committee.

Add _____

TOTAL SCORE _____